

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/383,038   | 08/25/1999      | CHARLES B. SCHNAREL  | 3382-51792              | 6021            |
| 7  | 7590 07/07/2003 |                      |                         |                 |
| KLARQUIST SPARKMAN CAMPBELL<br>LEIGH & WHINSTON LLP<br>ONE WORLD TRADE CENTER STE 1600<br>121 SW SALMON STREET<br>PORTLAND, OR 972042988 |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
|  |                 |                      | SAX, STEVEN PAUL        |                 |
|  |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| i Oktibilito,  | O11 7,20.2700   |                      | 2174                    | 18              |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





## Advisory Action

Application No. 09/383,038

Applicant(s)

Schnarel et al

Examiner

Steve Sax

Art Unit 2174

|                                     | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| There rejectallow                   | REPLY FILED Jun 9, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. efore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for vance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   |
|                                     | THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]   |
|                                     | The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |
| b)                                  | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |
| e)<br>ap<br>se                      | xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate xtension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ppropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originall at in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the railing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  |
|                                     | 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |
| 2. 🛭                                |  |
|                                     | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |
|                                     | they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);   |
|                                     | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |
| (d)                                 | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |
|                                     | NOTE: <u>The additional wording 'programmatic', bring out further nuances to the inventive concept as claimed.</u> <u>Further search and consideration are warranted.</u>  |
| 3.□                                 | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |
|                                     |  |
| 4. 🗆                                | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |
| 4. □<br>5. 🕱                        | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Amdt. cannot be entered. Claims as recited are still broad.  |
|                                     | a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:   |
| 5. 🛭                                | a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a)  affidavit, b)  exhibit, or c)  request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Amdt. cannot be entered. Claims as recited are still broad.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised  |
| <ul><li>5. X</li><li>6. □</li></ul> | a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a)   affidavit, b)   exhibit, or c)   request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Amdt. cannot be entered. Claims as recited are still broad.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)   will not be entered or b)   will be entered and an  |
| <ul><li>5. X</li><li>6. □</li></ul> | a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a)  affidavit, b)  exhibit, or c)  request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Amdt. cannot be entered. Claims as recited are still broad.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)  will not be entered or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  |
| <ul><li>5. X</li><li>6. □</li></ul> | a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a)   affidavit, b)   exhibit, or c)   request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Amdt. cannot be entered. Claims as recited are still broad.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)   will not be entered or b)   will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  |
| <ul><li>5. X</li><li>6. □</li></ul> | a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a)   affidavit, b)   exhibit, or c)   request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Amdt. cannot be entered. Claims as recited are still broad.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)   will not be entered or b)   will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-6, 8, and 12-45   |
| 5. ⊠<br>6. □<br>7. ⊠                | a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a)   affidavit, b)   exhibit, or c)   request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Amdt. cannot be entered. Claims as recited are still broad.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)   will not be entered or b)   will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-6, 8, and 12-45  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |
| 5. ☒<br>6. □<br>7. ☒<br>8. □        | a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a)   affidavit, b)   exhibit, or c)   request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Amdt. cannot be entered. Claims as recited are still broad.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)   will not be entered or b)   will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-6, 8, and 12-45   |
| 5. ⊠<br>6. □<br>7. ⊠                | a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a)   affidavit, b)   exhibit, or c)   request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Amdt. cannot be entered. Claims as recited are still broad.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)   will not be entered or b)   will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-6, 8, and 12-45  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |